Medical Response Times and Their Effect on Prehospital Care

Storm Santos
Murray State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.murraystate.edu/scholarsweek

Part of the Emergency Medicine Commons

Santos, Storm, "Medical Response Times and Their Effect on Prehospital Care" (2017). Scholars Week. 27.
https://digitalcommons.murraystate.edu/scholarsweek/Fall2017/GeneralPosters/27

This Poster Presentation is brought to you for free and open access by the The Office of Research and Creative Activity at Murray State's Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Scholars Week by an authorized administrator of Murray State's Digital Commons. For more information, please contact msu.digitalcommons@murraystate.edu.
Medical Response Times and Their Effect on Prehospital Care

Storm J. Santos, Rural Health Scholar
Murray State University

Questions

1. What factors influence medical response times?
2. Do medical response times play a role in prehospital care and/or patient outcomes?
3. Are there discrepancies between rural and urban area medical response times?

Introduction

The Emergency Medical Response System (EMS) plays an important role in ensuring that people receive medical care as soon as possible. There are many times that this system saves lives; however, there are many times when patients are found dead by the time paramedics arrive, or they die while in the ambulance back to the hospital (see table 3 for mortality rates taken from a study involving pediatric patients).

There are specific guidelines and adages that EMS must follow while responding to an emergency. One specific adage has been nicknamed “The Golden Hour”. This term, first coined by R. Adams Cowley states that from activation to the time where the patient is in the hospital must be under an hour. Once an hour has passed often the patient will be deceased.

Response times may differ depending on if the emergency is in a rural or an urban area. The United State Census establishes a rural area as having a population less than 2,500, an urban cluster with a population between 2,500 and 50,000, and an urban area with a population of over 50,000 (Gonzalez 2009). For this and any following research, Census data will be used to determine whether an area is rural or urban.

Findings

- Medical response times slowed due to:
  - Time of activation
  - Location of emergency
  - Traffic flow
  - Ambulance sites
  - Available resources

- Rural Areas have:
  - Fewer supplies
  - Fewer opportunities
  - Fewer staff
  - Poor infrastructure
  - More ground to cover

- Distance directly impacts mortality rates (see figure 3)

- Found to be an over six times greater (figure 2) chance of sustaining long term injuries and/or death stemming from rural medical response when compared to those from urban areas; (Nicholl et al. 2007).

Conclusions

The following conclusions have been taken from other research works (refer to the “future research” section to view plans for a research plan for this research group):

- There is room for EMS response improvement in both urban and rural areas, but there is an apparent divide between the two. It has been found that the lack of money, resources, distance, and infrastructure contribute to the poor response times.

- Many of the deficiencies in rural areas can be attributed to low population density. Low populations make it harder for businesses to become profitable; thus there are less opportunities for hospitals, or EMS posts. In terms of emergency first aid, if there are less people then there is less of a chance that there would be someone available to perform essential needs such as CPR and triggering the response system.

- Reviewing other’s research conclusions, one way to improve EMS response times is for hospitals and EMS to become more efficient. Some examples of ways to become more efficient are the following: pre-stocking ambulances, creating alternate routes for traffic purposes, working with local and state government to pass legislation that helps improve traffic flow while EMS are in pursuit, and incorporating/improving GPS technology to find the fastest routes to the emergency site.
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