

Stratification of Potassium in Tillage vs. No-Till Systems and Uptake in Corn (*Zea mays*) in Western Kentucky Author: Ava Isaacs, Kyle Krieger, Christopher Powell | Hutson School of Agriculture | Mentor: Megan Taylor



# OBJECTIVES

- Determine if differences exist between tillage and no-till systems for potassium.
- Determine if crop physiological differences exist between tillage and no-till systems.

## INTRODUCTION



### RESULTS



Potassium is a macronutrient that is taken up by plants in large amounts in order for them to function properly. Potassium is vital for the proper flow of water, nutrients, and carbohydrates in corn, it is also an important component of plant cell walls and stomatal mechanics as well as other metabolic functions. this affects the ability of the corn plant to resist disease as well as drought tolerance. Potassium availability for uptake in the plant from the soil is dependent upon factors such as soil temperature, soil moisture, and soil aeration. These factors are all affected by disturbance or lack of disturbance in soil, such as conventional tillage and no-till practices. Conventional tillage is the overturning of the soil in order to incorporate crop residue and is used to try and prepare an even seedbed. No-till is a practice that is used with little to no disturbance of the soil. Both the no-till and tillage systems in this study are the same land capability classification.



Soil Sampling : 4 depths, 3 reps per depth. Samples taken at Pre Plant and at V6.





						4-6 in.	Zone 1 No-Till vs Zone 1 Tillage	(0.000096940)
	% of	of Potassium in V6, R1, and R5 Tissue Tests				4-6 in	Zone 2 No-Till vs Zone 2 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
	5 4 3					4-6 in.	Zone 3 No-Till vs Zone 3 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.003442980)
_						6-8 in.	Zone 1 No-Till vs Zone 1 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
In						6-8 in.	Zone 2 No-Till vs Zone 2 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
CCDIO	2			*		6-8 in.	Zone 3 No-Till vs Zone 3 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.014313430)
0/	1					<b>Table 2.</b> Summary table showing the significant differences between zones/tillage systems and their depth in regards to potassium in lbs/ac measured at V6.		
		Zone 1	Zone 2 Tillage	Zone 1 No-Till	Zone 2 No Till	Depth	Zone	Relationship
			V6 <b>R1</b>	R5		0-2 in.	Zone 1 No-Till vs Zone 1 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.014268947)
e 4.	Bar chart s	showing the percer	ntage of potassium	m in tissue tests t	aken at V6, R1, and R5	0-2 in.	Zone 2 No-Till vs Zone 2 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.007976461)
	10%	Average Stal	k Rot % in Til	lage vs No-Ti		0-2 in.	Zone 3 No-Till vs Zone 3 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.006009416)
	4070					2-4 in.	Zone 1 No-Till vs Zone 1 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.003760347)
	30% —	_				2-4 in.	Zone 2 No-Till vs Zone 2 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
,						2-4 in.	Zone 3 No-Till vs Zone 3 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
	20% —					4-6 in.	Zone 1 No-Till vs Zone 1 Tillage	Sig Difference (0.038035141)
	10% —					4-6 in.	Zone 2 No- Till vs Zone 2 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
						4-6 in.	Zone 3 No-Till vs Zone 3 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
	0% —	Zone 1 Zone 2	Zone 1	Zone 2	6-8 in.	Zone 1 No-Till vs Zone 1 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.000657034)	
		No-Till	No-Till	Tillage	Tillage	6-8 in.	Zone 2 No-Till vs Zone 2 Tillage	Sig. Difference (0.012536327)
Figure 5. Bar chart showing the percentage of stalk rot in tillage vs no-till systems.						6-8 in.	Zone 3 No-Till vs Zone 3 Tillage	No Sig. Difference
	300 —	Corn Yields Across No-Till vs. Tillage					Kernel Weight	
	200			*		Ĭ		







Stalk Strength: 3 reps in 17'5" increments, count each stalk within that measure and test each stalk with a 30 degree push, record how many stalks failed to return to their original vertical position.







## CONCLUSIONS

- The tillage system had significantly higher yields compared to the no-till system in Zone 1 for the two locations.
- There was no significant difference between Zone 2 for tillage versus no-till system for the two locations.
- Zone 3 tended to have higher levels of potassium in the tillage system at pre-plant and V6 when compared to Zone 1 and Zone 2.
- Stalk quality was not significantly different across tillage practices.
- % Potassium within the plant followed a similar trend across sampling times (V6, R1, and R5) in both tillage and no-till systems.
- Many significant differences existed between depth and nutrient content of the soil in both the tillage and no-till systems.
- Grain quality is still being analyzed.

**Figure 2.** Tillage Field Located at the Hutson Farm. Zone 1 (GrB2), Zone 2 (PlC2), and Zone 3 (Waterway).

Yield : 3 reps in 17'5" increments, harvest all ears from those rows, record moisture, weigh ears, and determine kernel size, grain grading to determine if any effect on grain quality. Samples also sent to Iowa State for nutrient analysis.



Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online. Accessed [April/15/2023].

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was made possible thanks to Murray State University and the Hutson School of Agriculture. We would like to thank them for allowing us to utilize research plots at both the Pullen and Hutson Farms. We would also like to extended our gratitude to the AGR 240 students who helped pull soil samples in Spring 2023, Jeff Hagan of Waters Laboratory in Owensboro Kentucky, and CHS agronomy for technical assistance with this project.